

**STRATEGIC PROJECT SOLUTIONS®**

# Effective Implementation of Last Planner

PPI Inaugural Symposium

03 December 2014

Effective implementation of Last Planner requires adoption of:

1. Properly configured business processes
2. Optimization rules (process, variability and resources)
3. Definition and ongoing use of performance indicators

However...



# Collaborative Scheduling $\neq$ Last Planner System

We see those implementing Last Planner finding it easier to just implement business processes

Rules are very antagonistic to people and there lays the opportunity for optimization

Standard	Rules	Performance Indicators
Process	<p>Last Possible Moment <u>SHALL</u> be defined based on business / project objectives</p> <p>LRM Date = Last Possible Moment Date – Time Buffer</p> <p>LRM dates <u>SHALL</u> be defined for master and regular milestones</p> <p>Start dates <u>SHALL</u> be calculated based on LRM date minus estimated processing time or lead time depending on optimization objectives</p> <p>Task descriptions <u>SHALL</u> be specific enough for clear assessment of completion and to facilitate better coordination</p>	<p>Schedule Variance</p> <p>Percentage of Anticipated Tasks (PAT5 and PAT10)</p>
Resources	<p>Time buffers <u>WILL NOT</u> be embedded in task durations under any circumstance</p> <p>Independent activities <u>SHALL</u> be created to denote time buffers in order to shield work execution from variability</p> <p>Availability of equipment, space, and people <u>SHALL</u> be confirmed based on lead time</p> <p>Resource forecast <u>SHALL</u> be based on actual processing time (not cycle time or lead time)</p>	
Variability	<p>Clearly define handoffs using <u>ONLY</u> Finish-to-Start relationships</p> <p>Standard Processes <u>SHALL</u> be used to establish production schedules at least four weeks in advance of the start of a process including interfaces between processes</p>	

## Sample - Production Scheduling Rules

Standard	Rules	Performance Indicators
Process	<p>Task descriptions and scope <u>SHALL</u> be specific enough for clear assessment of completion by the end of the control cycle</p> <p>Only work that <u>WILL</u> be completed by the end of the next control cycle <u>SHALL</u> be committed on the production plan using the LRM dates as the prioritization criteria</p> <p>Commitment <u>MUST</u> be made by the person that has control over the completion of work being planned</p> <p>If work ready for execution is not truly ready, tasks for removing constraints <u>MUST</u> be identified and added</p> <p>Status of committed tasks <u>SHALL</u> only be defined as either “completed” or “not completed”</p> <p>If tasks are not completed, root cause and associated category <u>SHALL</u> be clearly defined</p> <p>Status of current production plan <u>MUST</u> be updated prior to the creation of the next production plan</p>	<p>Commitment Reliability</p> <p>Task Maturity</p> <p>Production Plan / Overlap Gap</p> <p>Number of Tasks per Production Plan</p> <p>Resource Utilization</p>
Resources	<p>Resources <u>SHALL</u> be committed to prescribed utilisation target leaving room to absorb variability</p>	
Variability	<p>Control cycle <u>MUST</u> be defined (e.g., a day, a week, etc.)</p> <p>Once committed, task <u>MUST</u> be completed as planned</p>	

## Sample - Production Planning Rules



Conventional project controls focuses on reporting and forecasting of progress

Production control focuses on how exactly work is executed, effective use of resources and minimizing variability

	<b>Project Controls</b>	<b>Project Production Control</b>
Root	Accounting (cost estimates to complete and update financial statements based on project status)	Use of people as the means for performing production control to the use of physical types of control that might be consciously executed, done implicitly, discovered by accident or even forced by constraints
Focus	Report and forecast project progress (time and cost) mainly through combination of EVA and conventional scheduling practices	How exactly work is planned, executed and improved
Approach	Centralized scheduling; controlling / fixing scope and base lining schedule to track variance; creation of master schedule pushed to project team members for execution	Incorporates distributed approach to planning work for execution whereby those responsible for the work plan their work (Production Scheduling) and mechanism through which schedule is executed and controlled (Production Planning)
Execution	Performed when needed	Requires attention to detail every day, every week through project lifecycle because it addresses the coordination of resources and the adjustment of plans according to variability in work execution

# Questions